

## COUNCIL POLICY ON THE PUBLICATION OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT DECISIONS

### First Stage of the Review Process

- At the *screening officer* level, the Council publishes, in a new annual report, anonymized summaries of the types of complaints that were dismissed in the previous year.

### Second Stage of the Review Process

- At the *reviewing member* level, the Council publishes in its annual report anonymized summaries of the matters dismissed in the previous year.

### Third Stage of the Review Process

- At the *review panel* level, the Council publishes decisions on its website.

In exceptional circumstances, at the stages mentioned above, the Chairperson of the Council's Judicial Conduct Committee may determine that less - or more - information should be disclosed regarding a particular matter. Any such determination will be based on the relevant jurisprudence and, notably, in consideration of the following principles: transparency, the public interest, and judicial independence. Such decisions of the Chairperson, if any, will be referred to in the annual report.

### Fourth Stage of the Review Process

- At the reduced or full *hearing panel* level, the Council publishes decisions on its website.

### Fifth Stage of the Review Process

- At the *appeal panel* level, the Council publishes decisions on its website.